

A

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE  
OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Application for which urgency is claimed should be submitted to the Deputy Registrar/registry before 12.00 noon and will be ordinary laid before the Judge appointed to dispose off the application of this class with his petition on the day following that on which the application is personated. The application may, however, if specially requested and the reasons for the request stated, be submitted for order on the day of presentation. In no case, however, will an application received after 11 a.m. be submitted for orders on the day of presentation.

To The Registrar/A.R/D.R.  
Punjab and Haryana High  
Court  
Chandigarh

Case Title

Charanjit Singh, Channi

CRM-M NO. 453 OF 2023

CRM - 357/2023  
..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent

Sir,

1. Will you kindly treat the accompanying petition as an urgent one in accordance with the provisions of Rule 9, Chapter 3-A, Volume-V of Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders.
2. The grounds of urgency are:

As prayed for.

SETTLED BY:-  
(BIPAN GHAI)  
SENIOR ADVOCATE

CHANDIGARH  
Dated: 04.01.2023

*Grant of leave of NOK  
3851  
Reford c  
5/1/23*  
(NIKHIL GHAI & PARAS TALWAR)  
PH-3334/2022 & P-1370/2010

*Deepanshu Mehta*  
(DEEPANSHU MEHTA & AMANPREET SINGH PANNU)  
P-1580/2016 & PH-3491/2022  
ADVOCATES  
COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB  
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 2023

Charanjit Singh @ Channi

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent

**INDEX**

Sr. No	Particulars	Date	Pages	Court Fee
A.	Urgent Form	04.01.2023	A	3.00
B	Aadhar Card	--	B	--
1.	Application for exemption of rerolled	04.01.2023	1-2	3.00
2.	Affidavit	04.01.2023	3-4	--
3.	Petition u/s 482 Cr.P.C. for Quashing	04.01.2023	5-18	3.00
4.	Affidavit	04.01.2023	19-20	--
5.	Annexure P-1 (Copy of FIR)	18.02.2022	21-23	--
6.	Annexure P-2 (Copy of Final Report u/s 173(2) Cr.P.C.)	21.06.2022	24-28	--
7.	Annexure P-3 (Copy of Order)	23.06.2022	29-30	2.00
8.	Annexure P-4 (Copy of Order)	16.07.2022	31-32	2.00
9.	Annexure P-5 (Copy of Order)	13.09.2022	33-34	2.00
10.	Annexure P-6 (Copy of Order)	18.11.2022	35-36	2.00
11.	Annexure P-7 (copy of summon)	21.11.2022	37	1.00
12.	Annexure P-8 (copy of Order)	14.02.2022	38-39	1.00
13.	Power of Attorney	4.1.2023	40	3.00
	<b>Vernaculars</b>			
14.	Annexure P-1 (FIR)	18.02.2022	41-45	4.00
15.	Annexure P-2 (Copy of Final Report u/s 173(2) Cr.P.C.)	21.06.2022	46-47	2.00
16.	Annexure P-8 (copy of Order)	14.02.2022	48	1.00
	Total court Fee			28.00

**Note:-**No such or similar petition before this Hon'ble High Court or Hon'ble Supreme Court of India or any other Sessions court.

Whether any sitting former /MP/MLA/Ex-Chief Minister is involved in the case or not: Yes

**SETTLED BY:-**

**(BIPAN GHAI)  
SENIOR ADVOCATE  
CHANDIGARH**

Dated: 04.01.2023

*(Nikhil Ghai & Paras Talwar)*  
**(NIKHIL GHAI & PARAS TALWAR)**  
PH-3334/2022 & P-1370/2010

*(Deepanshu Mehta & Amanpreet Singh Panu)*  
**(DEEPANSHU MEHTA & AMANPREET SINGH PANNU)**  
P-1580/2016 & PH-3491/2022

**ADVOCATES  
COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER**

RECEIVED ADVANCE COPY  
(O/o Advocate General Punjab)

Diary No. 547

Date: 5/1/2023 Time .....

Signature of Receiving Official

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB  
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 2023

Charanjit Singh @ Channi



..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent

**Court Fee**




**SETTLED BY:-  
(BIPAN GHAI)  
SENIOR ADVOCATE**

**CHANDIGARH  
Dated: 04.01.2023**

*mghai*  
**(NIKHIL GHAI & PARAS TALWAR)  
PH-3334/2022 & P-1370/2010**


*Deul*  
**(DEEPANSHU MEHTA & AMANPREET SINGH PANNU)  
P-1580/2016 & PH-3491/2022  
ADVOCATES  
COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER**

B


 ਚਰਨਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਚੰਨੀ  
 Charanjit Singh Channi  
 ਪਤਨੀ ਕਮਲਜੀਤ ਕੌਰ  
 Wife - Kamaljit Kaur  
 ਜਨਮ ਮਿਤੀ / DOB: 15/03/1983  
 ਪੁਲਾ / Male




9885 6535 2886

ਆਧਾਰ - ਆਮ ਆਦਮੀ ਦਾ ਅਧਿਕਾਰ


 ਚਰਨਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਚੰਨੀ  
 Charanjit Singh Channi

ਮਨੁੱਖੀ ਸਰੋਤ ਮਨੁੱਖੀ ਸਰੋਤ ਵਿਭਾਗ, ਚਰਨਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਚੰਨੀ ਚੰਨੀ, ਮੋਹਾਲ, ਜਲੰਧਰ ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ, ਪੰਜਾਬ (ਮੋਹਾਲ) ਪਿੰਡ: 142901	Address: 201, Charanjit Singh, 142901 CHANJITWARA ROAD, Mohal, District: SAS Nagar (Mohal), Punjab, 142901
---	--

9885 6535 2886

True Copy

*[Signature]*

Adv

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF THE  
PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 2023

In CRM-M No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 2023

Charanjit Singh @ Channi -----Petitioner  
Versus  
State of Punjab --Respondent

Application for grant of leave under Rule  
3/A(I) of Chapter VI, Part B, Vol V of  
Punjab and Haryana High Court Rule  
and Order for exemption for filing.


**RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:-**


1. That, the present application is filed by the counsel as mentioned, and since the filing counsel had filed his Roll of Advocates.
2. The filing counsel had thereafter applied but the same was not notified in the list notified.
3. That the counsel has applied for registration on the Roll of Advocate and the same will be registered in its due course by the concerned authorities.
4. That therefore, the present application may kindly be accepted and the filing counsel may kindly be granted exempted to file this particular petition, in the interest of justice.

It is therefore respectfully prayed that the present application may kindly be allowed and grant of leave under Rule 3/A(I) of Chapter VI, Part B, Vol V of Punjab and Haryana High Court Rule and Order for exemption for filing, in the interest of justice.

**SETTLED BY:-  
(BIPAN GHAI)  
SENIOR ADVOCATE**

**CHANDIGARH  
Dated: 04.01.2023**

  
**(NIKHIL GHAI & PARAS TALWAR)  
PH-3334/2022 & P-1370/2010**

  
**(DEEPANSHU MEHTA & AMANPREET SINGH PANNU)  
P-1580/2016 & PH-3491/2022  
ADVOCATES  
COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER**

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF THE  
PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 2023

In CRM-M NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2023


Charanjit Singh @ Channi -----Petitioner  
Versus

State of Punjab --Respondent

Affidavit of Nikhil Ghai,  
Advocate, Chamber No.21,  
Punjab & Haryana High Court,  
Chandigarh.

I, the above named deponent do hereby  
solemnly affirm and declare as under:-

1. That, the present application is filed by the counsel  
as mentioned, and since the filing counsel had filed  
his Roll of Advocates.



2. That the filing counsel had thereafter applied but  
the same was not notified in the list notified.

3. That the counsel has applied for registration on the  
Roll of Advocate and the same will be registered in  
its due course by the concerned authorities.

4. That therefore, the present application may kindly  
be accepted and the filing counsel may kindly be

*meera*

granted exempted to file this particular petition, in the interest of justice.

Place: Chandigarh  
Dated: 04.01.2023

*[Signature]*  
(DEPONENT)

**Verification -**


Verified that the contents of para 1 to 4 of the above affidavit are true and correct to my knowledge. No part of it is false and nothing has been concealed therein.

**ATTESTED**

*[Signature]*  
PARMOD KUMAR  
OATH COMMISSIONER  
CHANDIGARH

Place: Chandigarh  
Dated: 04.01.2023

*[Signature]*  
(DEPONENT)



*[Signature]*  
Certified that above statement made on Oath/S. before me at Chandigarh by Mitchal Ghau Son/Daughter, who Adreval Resident of Block No 21 Teh Suratgarh Identified by Chd who is personally known to me.

Dated 4/1/23 Oath Commissioner  
Chandigarh



5

**IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF  
PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2023

Charanjit Singh @ Channi aged 59 years son of Harsa  
Singh, resident of House No.154, Guruduwara Road,  
Kharar, District SAS Nagar  
(Mohali), Aadhar Card No.9885 6535 2886, Mobile  
No.97980-00000. (Ex-Chief Minister of Govt. of Punjab)

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

**SETTLED BY:-  
(BIPAN GHAI)  
SENIOR ADVOCATE**

**CHANDIGARH  
Dated: 04.01.2023**

*meju*  
**(NIKHIL GHAI & AMANPREET SINGH PANNU)  
PH-3334/2022 & PH-3491/2022**

*Paras*  
**(PARAS TALWAR & DEEPANSHU MEHTA)  
P-1370/2010 & P-1580/2016  
ADVOCATES  
COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER**

Petition under Section 482 Cr. P.C. for quashing of FIR No.42 Dated 18.02.2022 registered at P.S. City-1, Mansa, District Mansa (**ANNEXURE P-1**), Under Section 188 of IPC and Final Report u/s 173 Cr.P.C. dated ~~21.06~~ 2022 (**Annexure P-2**) and further proceedings arising out of the present case, being illegal and abuse of the process of law.

It is further prayed that during the pendency of the present petition, FIR along with further proceedings arising out of said FIR including Final report u/s 173(2) Cr.P.C. may kindly be stayed.

Any other order of direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper may kindly be passed.

**RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:**

1. That the present case has been registered on the statement of Sub Inspector Tarandeep Singh.

The copy of the FIR along with English Translation of the relevant party is enclosed as **Annexure P-1.**

2. That as per the allegations in the FIR, it is alleged that Chandresh Kumar Yadav IAS, Election Observer Mansa, Legislative Constituency No.96, Mansa had received a complaint from Dr. Vijay Singla who had alleged that the petitioner Charanjit Singh Channi, along with late Shubdeep Singh @ Moosewala were going door to door for companioning after 6.00 clock along with 400/500 party workers.

It is further alleged that on said statement of Dr. Vijay Singla, Chandresh Kumar Yadav had made a complaint to District Election officer-cum Deputy Commissioner, Mansa for taking legal action.

It is further alleged that then District Election Officer-cum Deputy Commissioner, Mansa made a complaint to the SHO for getting the present FIR registered.

3. That as per the allegation in the FIR, it is alleged that the petitioner and Shubdeep Singh Sidhu @ Sidhu Moosewala had violated the order passed by Deputy Commissioner u/s 144 Cr.P.C dated 14.02.2022 and thereby committed offence u/s 188 IPC.

- 8
4. That after investigation the police has now filed final report u/s 173(2) Cr.P.C. on 21.06.2022 before Ld. JMIC, Mansa.

The copy of the Final report u/s 173(2) Cr.P.C. along with English translation of the relevant part is enclosed as **Annexure P-2**.

5. That vide order dated 23.06.2022, the Ld. JMIC, Mansa had registered the final report u/s 173(2) Cr.P.C. and had issued notice to the investigating officer for 16.07.2022.

The copy of order dated 23.06.2022 is enclosed as **Annexure P-3**.

6. That vide order dated 16.07.2022, the Ld. CJM, Mansa had issued the notice to the petitioner for appearing before the court on 13.09.2022.

Further vide order dated 13.09.2022, the Ld. CJM Mansa has again issued the fresh notice to the petitioner for 18.11.2022 as the earlier notice went un-served.

Further vide order dated 18.11.2022, again a fresh notice has been issued to the petitioner for 12.01.2023 as earlier notice was received back un-served.

The copies of orders dated 16.07.2022, 13.09.2022, 18.11.2022 are enclosed as **Annexure P-4 to P-6.**

7. That now the petitioner has received summons to appear before the Ld. CMJ on 12.01.2023.

The copy of the summons received by the petitioner is enclosed as **Annexure P-7.**

8. That the present case is nothing but abuse of the process of law as for offence u/s 188 IPC, the Ld. Court could not have taken cognizance as has been specifically barred u/s 195 Cr.P.C. except on a complaint (as defined u/s 2(d) Cr.P.C.) in writing of the public servant profligate the orders u/s 144 Cr.P.C. or of some other public servant to whom he is administratively subordinate.

9. That the relevant provisions of section 195 Cr.P.C. and 188 IPC 2(d) Cr.P.C., 2(r) Cr.P.C., are reproduced below:-

***“195. Prosecution for contempt of lawful authority of public servants, for offences against public justice and for offences relating to documents given in evidence.***

***(1) No Court shall take cognizance-***

(a) (i) of any offence punishable under sections 172 to 188 (both inclusive) of the Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860), or

(ii) of any abetment of, or attempt to commit, such offence, or

(iii) of any criminal conspiracy to commit such offence, **except on the complaint in writing of the public servant concerned or of some other public servant to whom he is administratively subordinate;**

#### **Section 188 in The Indian Penal Code**

188. Disobedience to order duly promulgated by public servant.—Whoever, knowing that, by an order promulgated by a public servant lawfully empowered to promulgate such order, he is directed to abstain from a certain act, or to take certain order with certain property in his possession or under his management, disobeys such direction, shall, if such disobedience causes or tends to cause obstruction, annoyance or injury, or risk of obstruction, annoyance or injury, to any person lawfully employed, be punished with simple imprisonment for a term which may extend to one month or with fine which may extend to two hundred rupees, or with both; and if such disobedience causes or trends to cause danger to human life, health or safety, or causes or tends to cause a riot or affray, shall be punished with imprisonment of either

11

description for a term which may extend to six months, or with fine which may extend to one thousand rupees, or with both. Explanation.—It is not necessary that the offender should intend to produce harm, or contemplate his disobedience as likely to produce harm. It is sufficient that he knows of the order which he disobeys, and that his disobedience produces, or is likely to produce, harm. Illustration An order is promulgated by a public servant lawfully empowered to promulgate such order, directing that a religious procession shall not pass down a certain street. A knowingly disobeys the order, and thereby causes danger of riot. A has committed the offence defined in this section.

**Section 2(d) in The Code Of Criminal Procedure, 1973**

(d) " complaint" means any allegation made orally or in writing to a Magistrate, with a view to his taking action under this Code, that some person, whether known or unknown, has committed an offence, **but does not include a police report.** Explanation.- A report made by a police officer in a case which discloses, after investigation, the commission of a non-cognizable offence shall be deemed to be a complaint; and the police officer by whom such report is made shall be deemed to be the complainant;

**Section 2(r) in The Code Of Criminal Procedure, 1973**

*(r) " police report" means a report forwarded by a police officer to Magistrate under sub- section (2) of section 173;"*

- 10. That on the joint readings of the four provisions reproduced above, it is clear that the Ld. Magistrate could have taken cognizance, for offence u/s 188 IPC, only on a complaint by Deputy Commissioner Mansa who had issued order dated 14.02.2022 u/s 144 Cr.P.C.

Therefore the cognizance taken by the Ld. CJM, Mansa on final report u/s 173(2)Cr P.C. dated 21.06.2022 was barred by the statute itself as per section 195 Cr.P.C.

- 11. That the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of C.Muniappan and other versus State of Tamil Nadu, (2010)9 SCC 567 has held that:-

*"Section 195(a)(i) Cr.PC bars the court from taking cognizance of any offence punishable under Section 188 IPC or abetment or attempt to commit the same, unless, there is a written complaint by the public servant concerned for contempt of his lawful order. The object of this provision is to provide for a particular procedure in a case of contempt of the*



lawful authority of the public servant. The court lacks competence to take cognizance in certain types of offences enumerated therein. The legislative intent behind such a provision has been that an individual should not face criminal prosecution instituted upon insufficient grounds by persons actuated by malice, ill-will or frivolity of disposition and to save the time of the criminal courts being wasted by endless prosecutions. This provision has been carved out as an exception to the general rule contained under Section 190 Cr.PC that any person can set the law in motion by making a complaint, as it prohibits the court from taking cognizance of certain offences until and unless a complaint has been made by some particular authority or person. Other provisions in the Cr.P.C like sections 196 and 198 do not lay down any rule of procedure, rather, they only create a bar that unless some requirements are complied with, the court shall not take cognizance of an offence described in those Sections."

12. That the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Daulat Ram versus State of Punjab, AIR 1962 SC 1206 has held that:

"There is an absolute bar against the court taking seisin of the case under Section 182 IPC except in the manner provided by Section 195 Cr.P.C.

Section 182 does not require that action must always be taken if the person who moves the public servant knows or believes that action would be

taken. The offence under Section 182 is complete when a person moves the public servant for action. Where a person reports to a Tahsildar to take action on averment of certain facts, believing that the Tahsildar would take some action upon it, and the facts alleged in the report are found to be false, it is incumbent, if the prosecution is to be launched, that the complaint in writing should be made by the Tahsildar, as the public servant concerned under Section 182, and not leave it to the police to put a charge-sheet.

The complaint must be in writing by the public servant concerned. The trial under Section 182 without the Tahsildar's complaint in writing is, therefore, without jurisdiction ab initio."

13. That the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Saloni Arora versus State (NCT of Delhi), (2017)3 SCC 286 has held that:-

"11. It is not in dispute that in this case, the prosecution while initiating the action against the appellant did not take recourse to the procedure prescribed under Section 195 of the Code. It is for this reason, in our considered opinion, the action taken by the prosecution against the appellant insofar as it relates to the offence under Section 182 IPC is concerned, is rendered void ab initio being against the law laid down in Daulat Ram."

14. That this Hon'ble Court in the case of Pawan Giri and other versus State of Haryana has held that:-

*"It is further pertinent to observe that Section 195 Cr.P.C. imposes certain restrictions on the authority of the public servant to prosecute under the relevant sections. The Courts are prohibited from taking cognizance of the offences mentioned therein unless and until the public servant or their superior makes the complaint. Section 195 opens with the word "No Court" and thus imposes a bar against any Court to take cognizance of the offences contemplated thereunder except in accordance with the procedure prescribed therein. "Complaint" has been defined under Section 2 (d) of the Cr.P.C. and has to be filed in accordance with the procedure prescribed thereunder. The order of prohibition does not refer to any restrictions against the purchasers and consumers on the restrictions against opening of the shops. The subordinate officials are only required to ensure enforcement of the said order and it does not make them an authority whoses' order has been disobeyed. The violation, if any, shall remain as that of the authority propagating the order. It has been held by the Supreme Court in the matter of "P.D. Lakhani and Others versus State of Punjab" reported as (2008) 5 SCC 150 that the functions of the Public Servant under Section 195 cannot be delegated."*

15. That therefore the law regarding the bar u/s 195 Cr.P.C. is settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court that the court cannot take cognizance for offence

u/s 188 IPC except upon a complaint made by the public servant concerned.

In the present case since the Deputy Commissioner Mansa had issued the order u/s 144 Cr.P.C. dated 14.02.2022, therefore the court could have only taken cognizance on a complaint made by the Deputy Commissioner, Mansa against the petitioner and could not have taken cognizance on the final report u/s 173(2) Cr.P.C. dated 21.06.2022 filed by the police officials.

The copy of order dated 14.02.2022 issued by the D.C. along with English translation is enclosed as **Annexure P-8**.

16. That therefore the final report u/s 173(2) Cr.P.C. and orders dated 30.06.2022, 16.07.2022, 13.09.2022 and 18.11.2022 whereby the Ld. court has taken cognizance upon the final report u/s 173(2) Cr.P.C. dated 21.06.2022 u/s 188 IPC, and issued summons to the petitioner are illegal and liable to be quashed.
17. That even otherwise the perusal of the final report u/s 173(2) Cr.P.C. dated 21.06.2022 shows that no

offence u/s 188 IPC is made out against the petitioner.

18. That the petitioner has not involved in any criminal case except present case and the petitioner has not declared proclaimed offender.
19. That the petitioner has not been any such or similar petitioner before this Hon'ble High Court or Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

It is therefore respectfully prayed that may kindly be quashed FIR No.42 Dated 18.02.2022 registered at P.S. City-1, Mansa, District Mansa (**ANNEXURE P-1**), Under Section 188 of IPC and Final Report u/s 173 Cr.P.C. dated 21.06.2022 (**Annexure P-2**) and further proceedings arising out of the present case, being illegal and abuse of the process of law.

It is further prayed that during the pendency of the present petition, FIR along with further proceedings arising out of said FIR including Final report u/s 173(2) Cr.P.C. may kindly be stayed.

Any other order of direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper may kindly be passed.

It is further prayed that the petitioner may kindly be exempted from filing the certified/typed copies of Annexures.

**SETTLED BY:-  
(BIPAN GHAI)  
SENIOR ADVOCATE**

**CHANDIGARH  
Dated: 04.01.2023**

*mehta*  
**(NIKHIL GHAI & PARAS TALWAR)  
PH-3334/2022 & P-1370/2010**

*mehta*  
**(DEEPANSHU MEHTA & AMANPREET SINGH PANNU)  
P-1580/2016 & PH-3491/2022  
ADVOCATES  
COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER**

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB  
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 2023


Charanjit Singh @ Channi

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent




Affidavit of Charanjit Singh @  
Channi aged 59 years son of Harsa  
Singh, resident of House No.154,  
Guruduwara Road, Kharar, District  
SAS Nagar (Mohali), Aadhar Card  
No.9885 6535 2886, Mobile  
No.97980-00000.

I, the above named deponent do hereby  
solemnly affirm and declare as under:

1. That the petitioner is filing the present  
accompanying petition, which is likely to succeed  
for the reasons mentioned therein.

*Channi*

- 2. That the deponent is fully conversant with the facts and circumstances of the case and the Petition is prepared under the instruction of the deponent. No part of it is false and nothing material has been concealed therein.
- 3. That the petitioner has not filed any such or similar petition in this Hon'ble court or the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India or before the Sessions court.



*[Handwritten Signature]*

CHANDIGARH  
DATED: 04.01.2023

DEPONENT

I Identify the deponent who has Signed/Thumb marked in my presence  
*[Handwritten Signature]*  
Signature

**VERIFICATION**

Verified that the contents of para No. 1 to 3 of my above said affidavit are true and correct to my knowledge. Nothing material has been concealed therein.

**ATTESTED**

**PARMOD KUMAR**  
**OATH COMMISSIONER**  
**CHANDIGARH**

*[Handwritten Signature]*

CHANDIGARH  
DATED: 04.01.2023

DEPONENT

0 7026  
Certified that above statement made by Chandigarh before me at Chandigarh on 04/01/23 at 15:45 hours of 15/1/2023 by Mohd. N. Khan who is personally known to me.  
Dated 04/01/23  
Oath Commissioner  
Chandigarh



**FIRST INFORMATION REPORT****(Under Section 154 Cr.P.C.)**

FIR No. 42 dated 18.02.2022 u/s 188 IPC registered at PS. City 1 Mansa, District Mansa.

Contents of which are:

Copy of Ruqa "Today I SI/SHO was present in the police station when a complaint bearing no. 252/Complaint cell Legislative Assembly elections 2022 dated 18.02.2022 was received from District election officer cum Deputy Commissioner Mansa for taking legal action. In that Learned District election officer cum Deputy Commissioner Mansa had stated that Chief Minister Channi is going door to door asking for votes whereas the time was till 6 o'clock. In this regard a compliant was submitted by Shri Chandresh Kumar Yadav IAS, election observer Mansa, legislative constituency no. 96, Mansa received from Dr Vijay Singla, contestant, Aam Aadmi Party legislative constituency no. 96, a copy of the same was sent to the police station. In that Dr Vijay Singla had verified that Charanjit Singh Channi, Chief Minister, Punjab was going door to door for campaigning along with Shubhdeep Singh Moosewala, contestant from congress party from legislative constituency no. 96 after 6 o'clock.

They were there along with around 400/500 party workers and FST team by civil department was also present at the spot and had also stated to take action. In this regard Id Deputy Commissioner vide its order u/s 144 Cr PC dated 14.02.2022 had stated that as per chapter 8, section 8.2 (8.2.1) of model code of conduct, in order to maintain law and order the campaigning for elections should end at 6 o'clock on 18.02.2022. In this was Charanjit Singh Channi, Chief Minister Punjab by campaigning in other constituency and Shri Shubhdeep Singh Sidhu Moosewala by gathering more persons than approved and campaigning have violated model code of conduct and orders given by Id Deputy Commissioner, so offence u/s 188 IPC are made out. So ruqa for registration of case against Charanjit Singh Channi, Chief Minister Punjab and Shri Shubhdeep Singh Sidhu Moosewala for offences u/s 188 IPC. The officials are being informed through wireless. Sd/- Tarandeep Singh SI, SHO, City 1 Mansa. Dated 18.02.2022. At PS City 1, Mansa. At 10:20 PM."

Upon receiving the compliant the case above stated for offences above stated was registered against Charanjit Singh Channi, Chief Minister Punjab and Shri Shubhdeep Singh Sidhu Moosewala. The original complaint along with case file is being kept with

me SI/SHO for further investigation. The copy of FIR is being sent to Illaqa Magistrate and Senior Officials through post. PCR Mansa is being intimated through E-mail. I SI/SHO along with ASI Gurmail Singh 683, Sepoy Sandeep Singh 1472, Sepoy Harpreet Singh 830 are proceeding towards the site of incident for investigation.

Certified to be true translated copy



Advocate

Annex - P-2

PS City 1 Mansa

District Mansa

Final Report u/s 173 Cr PC  
 FIR No. 42 dated 18.02.2022 u/s 188 IPC  
 registered at PS City 1 Mansa, District Mansa.

1	Name and Address of Complainant		Learned Deputy Commissioner Mansa cum District Election Officer.
2	Accused not Challaned		Shubhdeep Singh @ Sidhu Mussewala S/o Balkar Singh R/o village Mussa, Sadar Mansa (is dead, the copy of death certificate is attached)
3	Accused in custody		----
4	Accused on bail		Charanjit Singh @ Channi Chief Minister Punjab (arrest pending)
5	Case Property		----
6	Witnesses		As per the list attached

## Brief Facts of the case

Sir,

The brief facts of the case are that a complaint bearing no. 252/Complaint

cell/Legislative Assembly elections 2022 dated 18.02.2022 was received at PS City-1, Mansa from District election officer cum Deputy Commissioner Mansa for taking legal action which states as follows: Subject: In regard to the door to door campaign of Chief Minister Punjab. In regard to the above stated subject you are hereby informed that a intimation has been received from Chief Election officer, Punjab that Chief Minister Channi is going door to door asking for votes in Mansa whereas the time for campaigning was till 6 o'clock. So you are requested to send the report of action taken within one hour to his office so that the report can be forwarded to Chief Election Officer, Punjab. Sd/- District Election Officer cum Deputy Commissioner Mansa. In this regard a compliant was submitted to Shri Chandresh Kumar Yadav IAS, election observer Mansa, legislative constituency no. 96, Mansa by from Dr Vijay Singla, candidate of Aam Aadmi Party for legislative constituency no. 96, A copy of the same was sent to the police station. In this regard Dr Vijay Singla has verified that

Charanjit Singh Channi, Chief Minister, Punjab was going door to door for campaigning along with Shubhdeep Singh Moosewala, candidate by from congress party from legislative constituency no. 96 after 6 o'clock. They were there along with around 400/500 party workers and FST team of civil department was also present at the spot and had has been asked to take action. In this regard Id Deputy Commissioner, vide its order u/s 144 Cr PC dated 14.02.2022 had stated that as per chapter 8, section 8.2 (8.2.1) of model code of conduct, in order to maintain law and order the campaigning for elections should end at 6 o'clock on 18.02.2022. In this way Charanjit Singh Channi, Chief Minister Punjab by campaigning in other constituency and Shri Shubhdeep Singh Sidhu Moosewala by gathering more persons than Authorised for campaigning have violated model code of conduct as well as orders given by Id Deputy Commissioner. Thus offence u/s 188 IPC is made out. So case was registered against Charanjit Singh Channi, Chief Minister Punjab and Shri Shubhdeep Singh Sidhu Moosewala for offences u/s 188 IPC. Then

SHO Tarandeep Singh along with police party reached the site of occurrence and prepared a rough site plan. The statements of the witnesses were recorded. During investigation on 28.05.2022 accused Shubhdeep Singh @ Sidhu Mussewala was interogated and then he was made to understand the offence against him and then he was arrested. Thereafter separate memos regarding intimation of arrest of accused, intimation to family members and personal search were prepared. The accused and witnesses appended their signatures on the memos. The offence being bailable so the accused was released on bail upon furnishing of bail bonds. Then for the arrest of accused Charanjit Singh Channi his house was raided several times but he was not present at his house and he had gone abroad. Accused Shubhdeep Singh @ Sidhu Mussewala has died and he has been kept in column no. 2. As the arrest of accused Charanjit Singh Channi, former Chief Minister, Punjab is still pending so his name has been kept in column no. 4. No case property has to be recovered in this case so the investigation in this case is complete.

From the investigation done till now, statement of witnesses and evidence received on the case file there is sufficient evidence for filing final report against the accused for offence u/s 188 IPC. The Final report u/s 173 Cr PC has been prepared. The arrest of accused Charanjit Singh Channi, former Chief Minister, Punjab is still pending and he be called by issuing notice. The witnesses in the list will get their statement recorded and can be called through Summons. The accused be punished accordingly.

Sd/-  
SHO  
PS City-1, Mansa  
Dated 21.06.2022

Certified to be true translated copy

  
advocate



Annexure P-3

29

Chief Judicial Magistrate, Mansa

Case Details

Case Type	: CHI - CHALLAN IPC	
Filing Number	: 2006/2022	Filing Date: 30-06-2022
Registration Number	: 105/2022	Registration Date: 30-06-2022
CNR Number	: PBMN03-002009-2022	

Case Status

First Hearing Date	: 30th June 2022
Next Hearing Date	: 12th January 2023
Case Stage	: Appearance
Court Number and Judge	: 12-Chief Judicial Magistrate

Petitioner and Advocate

1) STATE OF PUNJAB Advocate- APP
-------------------------------------

Respondent and Advocate

1) CHARANJEET SINGH ALIAS CHANNI
----------------------------------

Acts

Under Act(s)	Under Section(s)
Indian Penal Code	188

FIR Details

Police Station	: CITY MANSA
FIR Number	: 42
Year	: 2022

Case History

Registration Number	Judge	Business on Date	Hearing Date	Purpose of Hearing
105/2022	Chief Judicial Magistrate		16-07-2022	Appearance
105/2022	Chief Judicial Magistrate		13-09-2022	Appearance
105/2022	Chief Judicial Magistrate		18-11-2022	Appearance
105/2022	Chief Judicial Magistrate		12-01-2023	Appearance

Orders

Order Number	Order Date	Order Details
1	30-06-2022	
2	16-07-2022	
3	13-09-2022	
4	18-11-2022	

Process Details

Process Id	Process Date	Process title
PPBMN030020092022	16-07-2022	Summons to an accused person [Sec. 61]
PPBMN030020092022	13-09-2022	Summons to an accused person [Sec. 61]
PPBMN030020092022	18-11-2022	Summons to an accused person [Sec. 61]

Ann: P-3

State Vs. Sukhdeep Singh alias Sidhu Mossewala

30

Present: Sh. Ankit Goyal, Ld. APP for the State.


Challan presented today being Duty Magistrate. It be registered.

Notice to IO and recorded the statement regarding death of accused

Sukhdeep Singh be issued for 16.07.2022.

Date of order: 23.06.2022  
Meeno Steno Gr.III

(Harpreet Singh)  
JMIC, Mansa (D)  
UID No. PB 0290

TRUE COPY  
  
ADVOCATE

Annexure P-4

31

## Chief Judicial Magistrate, Mansa

## Case Details

Case Type	: CHI - CHALLAN/IPC	
Filing Number	: 2006/2022	Filing Date: 30-06-2022
Registration Number	: 105/2022	Registration Date: 30-06-2022
CNR Number	: PBMN03-002009-2022	

## Case Status

First Hearing Date	: 30th June 2022
Next Hearing Date	: 12th January 2023
Case Stage	: Appearance
Court Number and Judge	: 12-Chief Judicial Magistrate

## Petitioner and Advocate

1) STATE OF PUNJAB Advocate- APP
-------------------------------------

## Respondent and Advocate

1) CHARANJEET SINGH ALIAS CHANNI
----------------------------------

## Acts

Under Act(s)	Under Section(s)
Indian Penal Code	188

## FIR Details

Police Station	: CITY MANSA
FIR Number	: 42
Year	: 2022

## Case History

Registration Number	Judge	Business on Date	Hearing Date	Purpose of Hearing
105/2022	Chief Judicial Magistrate		16-07-2022	Appearance
105/2022	Chief Judicial Magistrate		13-09-2022	Appearance
105/2022	Chief Judicial Magistrate		18-11-2022	Appearance
105/2022	Chief Judicial Magistrate		12-01-2023	Appearance

## Orders

Order Number	Order Date	Order Details
1	30-06-2022	
2	16-07-2022	
3	13-09-2022	
4	18-11-2022	

## Process Details

Process id	Process Date	Process title
PPBMN030020092022	16-07-2022	Summons to an accused person [Sec. 61]
PPBMN030020092022	13-09-2022	Summons to an accused person [Sec. 61]
PPBMN030020092022	18-11-2022	Summons to an accused person [Sec. 61]

Annexure - P4

32

CHI-105-2022 State Vs. Charanjeet Singh @ Channi

Present: Sh. Sukhraj Singla, Ld. APP for the State.

Accused Charanjeet Singh @ Channi has not come present today in the Court. Let notice to the accused Charanjeet Singh @ Channi be issued for 13.09.2022.

Date of order: 16.07.2022  
Parveen Kumar

(Atul Kamboj), PCS  
CJM/Mansa/(PB0262)

TRUE COPY  
ADVOCATE

*Amear R J*

33

**Chief Judicial Magistrate, Mansa**  
**Case Details**

Case Type	: CHI - CHALLAN/IPC	
Filing Number	: 2006/2022	Filing Date: 30-06-2022
Registration Number	: 105/2022	Registration Date: 30-06-2022
CNR Number	: PBMN03-002009-2022	

**Case Status**

First Hearing Date	: 30th June 2022
Next Hearing Date	: 12th January 2023
Case Stage	: Appearance
Court Number and Judge	: 12-Chief Judicial Magistrate

**Petitioner and Advocate**

1) STATE OF PUNJAB Advocate- APP
-------------------------------------

**Respondent and Advocate**

1) CHARANJEET SINGH ALIAS CHANNI
----------------------------------

**Acts**

Under Act(s)	Under Section(s)
Indian Penal Code	188

**FIR Details**

Police Station	: CITY MANSA
FIR Number	: 42
Year	: 2022

**Case History**

Registration Number	Judge	Business on Date	Hearing Date	Purpose of Hearing
105/2022	Chief Judicial Magistrate		16-07-2022	Appearance
105/2022	Chief Judicial Magistrate		13-09-2022	Appearance
105/2022	Chief Judicial Magistrate		18-11-2022	Appearance
105/2022	Chief Judicial Magistrate		12-01-2023	Appearance

**Orders**

Order Number	Order Date	Order Details
1	30-06-2022	
2	16-07-2022	
3	13-09-2022	
4	18-11-2022	

**Process Details**

Process id	Process Date	Process title
PPBMN030020092022	16-07-2022	Summons to an accused person [Sec. 61]
PPBMN030020092022	13-09-2022	Summons to an accused person [Sec. 61]
PPBMN030020092022	18-11-2022	Summons to an accused person [Sec. 61]

Amexura P-5

37

CHI-105-2022 State Vs. Charanjeet Singh @ Channi

Present: Sh. Sukhraj Singla, Ld. APP for the State.

Notice issued to the accused Charanjeet Singh @ Channi received back unserved. Let fresh notice to the accused Charanjeet Singh @ Channi be again issued for 18.11.2022.

Date of order: 13.09.2022  
Parveen Kumar

(Atul Kamboj), PCS  
CJM/Mansa/(PB0262)

TRUE COPY  
  
ADVOCATE

Annexure P-6  
35

Chief Judicial Magistrate, Mansa

Case Details

Case Type	: CHI - CHALLAN IPC	
Filing Number	: 2006/2022	Filing Date: 30-06-2022
Registration Number	: 105/2022	Registration Date: 30-06-2022
CNR Number	: PBMN03-002009-2022	

Case Status

First Hearing Date	: 30th June 2022
Next Hearing Date	: 12th January 2023
Case Stage	: Appearance
Court Number and Judge	: 12-Chief Judicial Magistrate

Petitioner and Advocate

1) STATE OF PUNJAB Advocate-APP
------------------------------------

Respondent and Advocate

1) CHARANJEET SINGH ALIAS CHANNI
----------------------------------

Acts

Under Act(s)	Under Section(s)
Indian Penal Code	188

FIR Details

Police Station	: CITY MANSA
FIR Number	: 42
Year	: 2022

Case History

Registration Number	Judge	Business on Date	Hearing Date	Purpose of Hearing
105/2022	Chief Judicial Magistrate		16-07-2022	Appearance
105/2022	Chief Judicial Magistrate		13-09-2022	Appearance
105/2022	Chief Judicial Magistrate		18-11-2022	Appearance
105/2022	Chief Judicial Magistrate		12-01-2023	Appearance

Orders

Order Number	Order Date	Order Details
1	30-06-2022	
2	16-07-2022	
3	13-09-2022	
4	18-11-2022	

Process Details

Process id	Process Date	Process title
PPBMN030020092022	16-07-2022	Summons to an accused person [Sec. 61]
PPBMN030020092022	13-09-2022	Summons to an accused person [Sec. 61]
PPBMN030020092022	18-11-2022	Summons to an accused person [Sec. 61]

Annexure P-6

Digitally signed  
by PARVEEN  
KUMAR  
Date:  
2022.11.19  
09:45:15  
+0530

CNR No: PBMN030020092022

CIS No: CHI/105/2022

-36-

STATE OF PUNJAB VS CHARANJEET SINGH ALIAS CHANNI

Present: Sh. Jasvir Singh, Ld. APP for the State.

Notice issued to the accused Charanjeet Singh @  
Channi not received back served or unserved. Let fresh notice  
to the accused Charanjeet Singh @ Channi be again issued for  
12.01.2023.

Date of order: 18.11.2022  
Parveen Kumar

(Atul Kamboj), PCS  
CJM/Mansa/(PB0262)

TRUE COPY  
  
ADVOCATE



ANNEXURE P-7

PBMN03-002009-2022 FORM NO.1 PPBMN03002009202

Criminal Courts, Mansa

IN THE COURT OF SH. ATUAL KAMBOJ CHIEF  
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE MANSANext Date, Purpose of case, orders and  
judgments as well as otherCase information is available on  
<http://ecourts.gov.in>

Summons to an accused person CHI/1052022

State of Punjab

Vs

Charanjeet Singh alias Channi

Police Station /FIR No.City 1 Mansa 42/2022

18.02.2022

188 of Indian Penal Code

Previous Date 18.11.2022

Next Date 12.01.2023

To

Charanjeet Singh alias Channi

Chief Minister of Punjab

Whereas your attendance is necessary to answer to a charge of offence punishable under section 188 of Indian Penal Code. You are hereby required to appear in person, (or by pleader, as the case may be) before the (Magistrate) of Chief Judicial Magistrate, on the day of 12.01.2023. Herein fail not.

Dated this day of 21.11.2022

Sd/-Superintendent/Reader  
Chief Judicial Magistrate, Mansa

TRUE COPY

  
ADVOCATE

## Office of District Magistrate

- 38 -

Order

The Punjab Legislative Assembly elections-2022 which are due on 20.02.2022. The election campaign in these elections will be over by 06:00 PM on 18.02.2022. According chapter 8, section 8.2 (8.2.1) of the manual of model code of conduct after the election campaign is over then no one is allowed to campaign in that constituency and people from outside the constituency, political worker who are not the voters of the constituency and party workers should not be present in that constituency. After the time of campaign, their continuous presence can effect the environment of independence and unbiased opinion.

So I Mahinderpal, IAS, District Magistrate, Mansa as per chapter 8, section 8.2 (8.2.1) of the manual of model code of conduct, in order to maintain law and order hereby by use my powers u/s 144 Cr PC and order that people from outside the constituency, political worker who are not the voters of the constituency and party workers are order return before 6:00 o'clock on 18.02.2022. If anyone is found violating these orders then appropriate action under CrPC will be taken.

These order will be applicable till 10.03.2022

Sd/-

Copy, as original

This order be announced through publicity van/other means through the office of District Public relation officer, Mansa and the copy of these are sent to the office of DM/ADM/Deputy Mandal Magistrate, Tehsil offices/Offices of Municipal Councils/ BDPO Office and be pasted on the notice boards of Police Stations and be implemented through TV/Radio/Press.


Sd/-  
Copy, as original

This order is given from my office on 14.02.2022 under my signature and Stamp.

Dated 14 February 2022, Mansa

Sd/-  
Mahinderpal  
IAS  
District Magistrate  
Mansa

*certified to be True Translation*



CWP/ \_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_

-40-

POWER OF AT TORNEY

IN THE COURT OF Comm. / 2023

PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

Case Title: Charanjit Singh @ Channi ..... Petitioner

-Versus-


State of Punjab ..... Respondent

KNOW ALL MEN by these presents that I/we (executants) do hereby appoint

**BIPAN GHAI SR. ADVOCATE  
NIKHIL GHAI ADVOCATE  
# 2118, SECTOR 15-C, CHANDIGARH  
M: 99148-13373, 95013-67378**

to be my/our legal counsels in the above mentioned case/cause to do the following acts, deeds and things:

1. To institute or defend the above mentioned case.
2. To act, appear, plead and argue in the above case before any court to which the above case is entrusted/ transferred.
3. To sign, verify and present pleadings, appeals, letters, plaints, reply, replication, rejoinder, applications, Petitions for revision, review, reference, withdrawal, compromise or other petitions, affidavits or other documents as shall be deemed necessary or advisable.
4. To produce evidence, documents, affidavits etc, to deposit costs, process fee or other fees or payments, to get certified copies of orders, documents etc, to inspect the record, to receive money, costs, cash, cheque, draft etc. and to issue receipt.
5. To withdraw or compromise the case or submit to arbitration any dispute.
6. To further engage Advocate/Advocates authorising him/them to exercise the powers & authorities conferred on the attorney(s).
7. To do all other acts, deeds and things that may be necessary or advisable.



AND I/we hereby agree to whatever my advocate(s) or his substitute shall do under this attorney;

AND I/we declare and agree that I/we will appear personally in the court on every date of hearing and will not hold the counsel liable if the case is dismissed for non-appearance. I/we further agree that it will be my/our duty to enquire about the case and its progress from the counsel;

AND I/we hereby agree that in case the counsel fee in full or in part remained unpaid, my advocate at any time may withdraw from the prosecution of case without any advance notice to me;

AND I/we hereby agree that the Counsel will not be bound to appear for us, if the above said case is transferred to some other court or the court sits at any other place than its normal place of sitting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I/we hereunto set my/our hand to these presents, the contents of which have been explained to and understood by me/us. 4/1/23

Date:

Nikhil Ghai  
**NIKHIL GHAI**  
 ADVOCATE  
 PH- 3334-2022  
 #2118, SECTOR 15-C, CHD  
 M:-99148-13373, 95013-67378

Charanjit Singh @ Channi  
**CHARANJIT SINGH @ CHANNI**

EXECUTANT(S)

Deepshikha Mehla  
 Advocate  
 P/1250/2016.

Anurag  
 Advocate  
 P/1345/2022

Ann: P-1

- 41 -

N.C.R.B./ਐਨ.ਸੀ.ਆਰ.ਬੀ  
I.I.F.-1 / ਇਕੀਕ੍ਰਿਤ ਤਫਤੀਬੀ ਫਾਰਮ -1

**FIRST INFORMATION REPORT**

(Under Section 154 Cr.P.C.)

(ਅੱਵਲ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ)

(ਧਾਰਾ 154 ਦੱਡ ਪ੍ਰਕਿਰਿਆ ਸਹਿਤਾ ਦੇ ਤਹਿਤ)

District  
1. (ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ): MANSAL  
P.S.  
(ਥਾਣਾ): CITY 1 MANSAL  
Year  
(ਸਾਲ): 2022

FIR No. 0042  
(ਐਫ.ਆਈ.ਆਰ ਨੰ.):  
Date and Time of FIR 18/02/2022 23:02 hrs  
(ਐਫ.ਆਈ.ਆਰ ਦੀ ਤਰੀਕ ਅਤੇ ਸਮਾਂ):

S.No. (ਲੜੀ ਨੰ.)	Acts (ਕਾਨੂੰਨ)	Sections (ਧਾਰਾਵਾਂ)
1	IPC 1860	188

3. (a) Occurrence of offence (ਅਪਰਾਧ ਦੀ ਘਟਨਾ):

1. Day(ਦਿਨ): Friday Date From 18/02/2022 Date To 18/02/2022

Time Period  
(ਸਮਾਂ ਮਿਆਦ):  
Time From 18:00 hrs Time To 22:20 hrs  
(ਸਮੇਂ ਤੋਂ): (ਸਮੇਂ ਤਕ):

(b) Information received at P.S. Date 18/02/2022 Time 22:20 hrs  
(ਥਾਣੇ ਵਿਚ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੋਣ ਦੀ ਮਿਤੀ ਅਤੇ ਸਮਾਂ): (ਮਿਤੀ): (ਸਮਾਂ):

(c) General Diary Reference- Entry No. 041 Date & Time 18/02/2022 22:30:59 hrs  
(ਰੋਜਨਾਮਚਾ ਸੰਦਰਭ): (ਪ੍ਰਵਿਸ਼ਟੀ ਨੰ.): (ਤਰੀਕ ਅਤੇ ਸਮਾਂ)

4. Type of Information (ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਦੀ ਕਿਸਮ): Written

5. Place of Occurrence (ਘਟਨਾ ਸਥਾਨ):

1. (a) Direction and distance from P.S. SOUTH, 0.5 Km(s) Beat No.  
(ਥਾਣੇ ਤੋਂ ਦੂਰੀ ਅਤੇ ਦਿਸ਼ਾ): (ਬੀਟ ਨੰ.):

(b) Address(ਪਤਾ): ਸ਼ਹਿਰ ਮਾਨਸਾ

(c) In case, outside the limit of this Police Station, then

(ਜੇਕਰ ਥਾਣਾ ਸੀਮਾ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਹਰ ਹੋ ਤਾਂ)

Name of P.S. District(State)  
(ਥਾਣੇ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ): (ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ (ਰਾਜ):)

6. Complainant / Informant (ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤਕਰਤਾ / ਸੂਚਨਾਕਰਤਾ):

- (a) Name(ਨਾਮ): ਡਿਪਟੀ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ ਮਾਨਸਾ
- (b) Father's/Mother's/Husband's Name (ਪਿਤਾ / ਮਾਤਾ / ਪਤੀ ਦਾ):
- (c) Date/Year of Birth (ਜਨਮ ਤਰੀਕ/ਸਾਲ): 1982 (d) Nationality(ਰਾਸ਼ਟਰੀਅਤਾ) INDIA
- (e) UID No(ਯੂਆਈਡੀ ਨੰ.):
- (f) Passport No. (ਪਾਸਪੋਰਟ ਨੰ.): Date of Issue (ਜਾਰੀ ਕਰਨ ਦੀ ਮਿਤੀ): Place of Issue (ਜਾਰੀ ਕਰਨ ਦਾ ਸਥਾਨ):
- (g) Id details (Ration Card, Voter ID Card, Passport, UID No., Driving License, PAN)

S.No. (ਲੜੀ ਨੰ.)	Id Type (ਪਛਾਣ ਪੱਤਰ ਦੀ ਕਿਸਮ)	Id Number (ਪਛਾਣ ਨੰ)

(h) Occupation (ਕਿਤਾ):

(i) Address(ਪਤਾ):

S.No. (ਲੜੀ ਨੰ.)	Address Type (ਪਤੇ ਦੀ ਕਿਸਮ)	Address (ਪਤਾ)
1	Present Address	ਜ਼ਿਲਾ ਰੋਣ ਅਫਸਰ, MANSA, PUNJAB, INDIA
2	Permanent Address	ਜ਼ਿਲਾ ਰੋਣ ਅਫਸਰ, CITY 1 MANSA, MANSA, PUNJAB, INDIA

- (j) Phone number (ਟੈਲੀਫੋਨ ਨੰ.): Mobile: (ਮੋਬਾਈਲ ਨੰ.):

Details of known/suspected/unknown accused with full particulars

7. (ਵਾਕਿਫ਼/ਸ਼ੱਕੀ/ਅਗਿਆਤ ਮੁਲਜ਼ਮ ਦਾ ਪੂਰੇ ਵੇਰਵੇ ਸਹਿਤ ਵਰਣਨ):

Accused More Than(ਇੱਕ ਤੋਂ ਵੱਧ ਅਪਰਾਧੀ ਦੀ ਗਿਣਤੀ):

S.No. (ਲੜੀ ਨੰ)	Name (ਨਾਮ)	Alias (ਉਪਨਾਮ)	Relative's Name (ਰਿਸ਼ਤੇਦਾਰ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ)	Address (ਪਤਾ)
1	ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਚਰਨਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਚੰਨੀ			1. ਮੁੱਖ ਮੰਤਰੀ ਪੰਜਾਬ, SAS NAGAR, PUNJAB, INDIA
2	ਸੁਭਦੀਪ ਸਿੰਘ ਸਿੱਧੂ ਮੁਸੇਵਾਲਾ			1. ਮਾਨਸਾ, MANSA, PUNJAB, INDIA

8. Reasons for delay in reporting by the complainant/informant (ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤਕਰਤਾ/ਸੂਚਨਾਕਰਤਾ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਦੇਰੀ ਨਾਲ ਦਰਜ ਕਰਾਉਣ ਦਾ ਕਾਰਨ):

9. Particulars of properties of interest (Attach separate sheet, if necessary)

(ਸਬੰਧਤ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦਾ ਵੇਰਵਾ(ਜੇ ਜਰੂਰੀ ਹੈ, ਤਾਂ ਵੱਖਰੀ ਸ਼ੀਟ ਨੱਥੀ ਕਰੋ)):

S.No. (ਲੜੀ ਨੰ)	Property Category (ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੀ ਸ਼੍ਰੇਣੀ)	Property Type (ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੀ ਕਿਸਮ)	Description (ਵੇਰਵਾ)	Value(In Rs/-) (ਰਕਮ (ਰੁ ਰ))

10. Total value of property stolen(In Rs/-)  
(ਚੋਰੀ ਹੋਈ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦਾ ਕੁੱਲ ਮੁੱਲ( ਰੁ ਵਿੱਚ ) ):

11. Inquest Report / U.D. case No., if any (ਤਫ਼ਤੀਸ਼ੀ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ / ਯੂ.ਡੀ. ਪ੍ਰਕਰਣ ਸੰ., ਜੇਕਰ ਕੋਈ ਹੋਵੇ):

S.No. (ਲੜੀ ਨੰ.)	UIDB Number (ਯੂ.ਡੀ.ਪ੍ਰਕਰਣ ਸੰ.)
--------------------	-----------------------------------

12. First Information contents (Attach separate sheet, if necessary)  
(ਪਹਿਲੀ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਸਮੱਗਰੀ(ਜੇ ਜ਼ਰੂਰੀ ਹੈ ,ਤਾਂ ਵੱਖਰੀ ਸ਼ੀਟ ਨੱਥੀ ਕਰੋ)):

ਨਕਲ ਹੁੱਕਾ , " ਅੱਜ ਮਨ SI/SHO ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਥਾਣਾ ਸੀ ਤਾਂ ਇੱਕ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 252 / ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਸੈੱਲ ਵਿਧਾਨ ਸਭਾ ਚੋਣਾਂ 2022 ਮਿਤੀ 18.2.2022 ਵੱਲੋਂ ਜਿਲਾ ਚੋਣ ਅਫ਼ਸਰ ਕਮ ਡਿਪਟੀ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ ਮਾਨਸਾ ਜੇ ਮਨ ਥਾਣੇ/ਮੁੱਖ ਅਫ਼ਸਰ ਨੂੰ ਕਾਨੂੰਨ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਮੌਜੂਦ ਹੋਇਆ ਹੈ। ਜਿਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਜਿਲਾ ਚੋਣ ਅਫ਼ਸਰ ਕਮ ਡਿਪਟੀ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ ਮਾਨਸਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ "ਮੁੱਖ ਮੰਤਰੀ ਚੰਨੀ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਡੋਰ ਟੂ ਡੋਰ ਜਾ ਕੇ ਵੋਟਾਂ ਮੰਗੀਆ ਜਾ ਰਹੀਆਂ ਹਨ ਜਦ ਕਿ ਟਾਇਮ 6 ਵਜੇ ਤੱਕ ਦਾ ਸੀ।" ਇਸੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਇੱਕ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਜੋ ਕਿ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਚੰਦਰੇਸ਼ ਕੁੰਮਾਰ ਯਾਦਵ ਆਈ. ਏ. ਐਸ. ਇਲੈਕਸ਼ਨ ਅਥਰਿਟੀ ਮਾਨਸਾ ਵਿਧਾਨ ਸਭਾ ਹਲਕਾ-96 ਮਾਨਸਾ, ਵੱਲੋਂ ਡਾਕਟਰ ਵਿਜੈ ਸਿੰਗਲਾ ਉਮੀਦਵਾਰ ਆਮ ਆਦਮੀ ਪਾਰਟੀ ਵਿਧਾਨ ਸਭਾ ਹਲਕਾ-96 ਦਿੱਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ, ਜਿਸਦੀ ਇੱਕ ਕਾਪੀ ਥਾਣਾ ਭੇਜੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ। ਜਿਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਡਾਕਟਰ ਵਿਜੈ ਸਿੰਗਲਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਇਸ ਗੱਲ ਦੀ ਪੁਸ਼ਟੀ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਚਰਨਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਚੰਨੀ ਮੁੱਖ ਮੰਤਰੀ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਸੁਭਦੀਪ ਸਿੰਘ ਮੁਸੇਵਾਲਾ ਉਮੀਦਵਾਰ ਕਾਂਗਰਸ ਪਾਰਟੀ ਵਿਧਾਨ ਸਭਾ ਹਲਕਾ- 96 ਮਾਨਸਾ ਦੇ ਹੱਕ ਵਿੱਚ 6 ਵਜੇ ਸ਼ਾਮ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਡੋਰ ਟੂ ਡੋਰ ਜਾ ਕੇ ਚੋਣ ਪ੍ਰਚਾਰ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ, ਉਸਦੇ ਨਾਲ ਸੁਭਦੀਪ ਸਿੰਘ ਸਿੱਧੂ ਮੁਸੇਵਾਲਾ ਵੀ ਸੀ ਅਤੇ ਇਕੱਠ ਕਰੀਬ 400/500 ਵਰਕਰਾਂ ਦਾ ਸੀ ਅਤੇ ਸਿਵਲ ਵਿਭਾਗ ਦੇ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਲਗਾਈ ਗਈ ਐਫ.ਐਸ.ਟੀ. ਟੀਮ ਵੀ ਮੌਕਾ ਪਰ ਮੌਜੂਦ ਸੀ, ਬਾਰੇ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਹੈ, ਇਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਡਿਪਟੀ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਵੱਲੋਂ 144 ਜਾ. ਵੋ. ਤਹਿਤ ਹੁਕਮ ਮਿਤੀ 14.2.2022 ਵਿੱਚ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਮਾਡਲ ਕੋਡ ਆਫ ਕੰਡਕਟ ਦੇ ਮੈਨੂਅਲ ਦੇ ਚੈਪਟਰ ਨੰਬਰ 8 ਦੇ ਸੈਕਸ਼ਨ 8.2 (8.2.1) ਵਿੱਚ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਕਾਨੂੰਨੀ ਵਿਵਸਥਾ ਧਿਆਨ ਵਿੱਚ ਰੱਖਦੇ ਹੋਏ ਚੋਣ ਪ੍ਰਚਾਰ ਮਿਤੀ 18.2.2022 ਨੂੰ ਸ਼ਾਮ 6 ਵਜੇ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਬੰਦ ਹੋ ਜਾਵੇਗਾ। ਇਸ ਤਰ੍ਹਾਂ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਚਰਨਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਚੰਨੀ ਮੁੱਖ ਮੰਤਰੀ ਜੀ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਦੂਸਰੇ ਵਿਧਾਨ ਸਭਾ ਹਲਕਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਚੋਣ ਪ੍ਰਚਾਰ ਕਰਨਾ ਅਤੇ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਸੁਭਦੀਪ ਸਿੰਘ ਸਿੱਧੂ ਮੁਸੇਵਾਲਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮਨਜ਼ੂਰਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਇਕੱਠ ਤੋਂ ਵੱਧ ਇਕੱਠ ਕਰਕੇ ਚੋਣ ਪ੍ਰਚਾਰ ਕਰਕੇ ਮਾਡਲ ਕੋਡ ਆਫ ਕੰਡਕਟ ਅਤੇ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਡੀ.ਸੀ. ਸਾਹਿਬ ਦੇ ਉਕਤ ਹੁਕਮ ਦੀ ਉਲੰਘਣਾ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੈ, ਜੋ ਕਿ ਜੁਰਮ 188 ਹਿੰ. ਦੰ. ਦਾ ਹੋਣਾ ਪਾਇਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਹੁੱਕਾ ਹਜ਼ਾ ਬਰਾਏ ਦਾਇਰੀ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਬਰਖਿਲਾਫ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਚਰਨਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਚੰਨੀ ਮੁੱਖ ਮੰਤਰੀ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਅਤੇ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਸੁਭਦੀਪ ਸਿੰਘ ਸਿੱਧੂ ਮੁਸੇਵਾਲਾ ਬਾ ਜੁਰਮ 188 ਹਿੰ. ਦੰ. ਦਰਜ ਰਜਿਸਟਰ ਕਰਨਾ ਸ਼ੁਰੂ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਅਫ਼ਸਰਾਨ ਬਾਲਾ ਨੂੰ ਵਜ਼ਰੀਆ ਵਾਇਰਲੈੱਸ ਇਤਲਾਹ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾ ਰਹੀ ਹੈ। ਸਹੀ/- ਤਰਨਦੀਪ ਸਿੰਘ SI ਮੁੱਖ ਅਫ਼ਸਰ ਥਾਣਾ ਸਿਟੀ 1 ਮਾਨਸਾ ਮਿਤੀ 18.2.2022 ਬਾ ਹੱਦ:- ਥਾਣਾ ਸਿਟੀ 1 ਮਾਨਸਾ ਸਮਾਂ:- 10.20 PM" ਪੱਤਰ ਥਾਣਾ ਮੌਜੂਦ ਹੋਣ ਪਰ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਉਕਤ ਬਾ ਜੁਰਮ ਉਕਤ ਬਰਖਿਲਾਫ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਚਰਨਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਚੰਨੀ ਮੁੱਖ ਮੰਤਰੀ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਅਤੇ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਸੁਭਦੀਪ ਸਿੰਘ ਸਿੱਧੂ ਮੁਸੇਵਾਲਾ ਉਕਤ ਦੇ ਦਰਜ ਰਜਿਸਟਰ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਕਰ ਤਕਮੀਲ ਪੜਤ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਮੁਕੰਮਲ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ। ਅਸਲ ਪੱਤਰ ਮਹਿ ਮਿਸਲ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਅਗਲੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਲਈ ਮਨ SI/SHO ਖੁਦ ਪਾਸ ਰੱਖਦਾ ਹਾਂ। ਨਕਲਾਂ FIR,s ਇਲਾਕਾ ਮੈਜਿਸਟਰੇਟ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਅਤੇ ਅਫ਼ਸਰਾਨ ਬਾਲਾ ਜੀ ਦੀ ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਡਾਕ ਭੇਜੀਆ ਜਾ ਰਹੀਆਂ ਹਨ। PCR ਮਾਨਸਾ ਨੂੰ ਵਜ਼ਰੀਆ E-MAIL ਰਾਹੀਂ ਵੱਖ ਇਤਲਾਹ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾ ਰਹੀ ਹੈ। ਮਨ SI/SHO ਸਮੇਤ ASI ਗੁਰਮੇਲ ਸਿੰਘ 683, ਸਿਪਾਹੀ ਸੰਦੀਪ ਸਿੰਘ 1472, ਸਿਪਾਹੀ ਹਰਪ੍ਰੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ 830 ਦੇ ਬਰਾਏ ਤਫ਼ਤੀਸ਼ੀ ਰਵਾਨਾ ਮੌਕਾ ਦਾ ਹੁੰਦਾ ਹਾਂ।

13. Action taken : Since the above information reveals commission of offence(s) u/s as mentioned at  
(ਕਾਰਵਾਈ : ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਨਾਲ ਪਤਾ ਚੱਲਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਅਪਰਾਧ ਕਰਨ ਦਾ ਤਰੀਕਾ ਸ. ਨੰ 2. ਵਿੱਚ ਦਰਸਾਈ ਧਾਰਾ ਦੇ ਤਹਿਤ

(1) Registered the case and took up the investigation (ਕੇਸ ਦਰਜ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਅਤੇ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਨੂੰ ਲੈ  
Tarandeep Singh (SI (Sub-Inspector)) / 102/MNS or (ਜਾਂ)

(2) Directed (Name of I.O.): Rank  
(ਤਫ਼ਤੀਬੀ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ): (ਅਹੁਦਾ):

No(ਨੰ): to take up the Investigation (ਨੂੰ ਜਾਰ ਆਪ ਪਾਸ ਲੈਣ ਲਈ ਨਿਰਦੇਸ਼ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਗਿਆ) or

(3) Refused investigation due to  
(ਕਿਸ ਕਾਰਨ ਕਰਕੇ ਇਨਕਾਰ ਕੀਤਾ):

or (ਇਨਕਾਰ ਕਰਨ ਦੇ ਕਾਰਣ, ਜਾਂ)

(4) Transferred to P.S.(ਥਾਣਾ): District (ਜਿਲ੍ਹਾ):

on point of jurisdiction (ਹੱਦਬੰਦੀ ਕਾਰਣ)

F.I.R.read over to the complainant/informant, admitted to be correctly recorded and a copy given  
(ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤਕਰਤਾ/ਸੂਚਨਾਕਰਤਾ ਨੂੰ ਪ੍ਰਾਥਮਿਕੀ ਪੜ੍ਹ ਕੇ ਸੁਣਾਈ ਗਈ, ਸਹੀ ਦਰਜ ਹੋਇਆ ਮੰਨਿਆ ਅਤੇ ਇੱਕ ਕਾਪੀ ਮੁਫ਼ਤ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤਕਰਤਾ ਨੂੰ

R.O.A.C.(ਆਰ.ਓ.ਏ.ਸੀ.)

Signature/Thumb impression of the complainant /  
14. Informant (ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤਕਰਤਾ/ਸੂਚਨਾਕਰਤਾ ਦੇ ਹਸਤਾਖਰ / ਅੰਗੂਠੇ  
ਦਾ ਨਿਸ਼ਾਨ):

Signature/ਹੁੰਦਸਾ/ਘੁਟ

Signature of Officer in charge,  
Police Station(ਥਾਣਾ ਪ੍ਰਭਾਰੀ ਦੇ ਹਸਤਾਖਰ)

15. Date and time of dispatch to the court  
(ਅਦਾਲਤ ਵਿੱਚ ਪੇਸ਼ ਕਰਨ ਦੀ ਤਰੀਕ ਅਤੇ ਸਮਾਂ):

Name(ਨਾਮ): Tarandeep Singh

Rank (ਅਹੁਦਾ): SI (Sub-Inspector)

No(ਸੰ.): 90/BTRT



45

N.C.R.B./ਐਨ.ਸੀ.ਆਰ.ਬੀ

I.I.F.-1 /ਇਕੀਕ੍ਰਿਤ ਤਫ਼ਤੀਸ਼ੀ ਫਾਰਮ -1

Attachment to item 7 of First Information Report (ਅੰਵਲ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਦੇ ਮਦ 7 ਸਲਗਠਕ):

Physical features, deformities and other details of the suspect/accused:(If known/seen )

(ਸ਼ੱਕੀ ਮੁਲਜ਼ਮ ਦੀ ਸਰੀਰਕ ਵਿਸ਼ੇਸ਼ਤਾ, ਵਿਕਾਰ ਅਤੇ ਹੋਰ ਵੇਰਵਾ: (ਜੇਕਰ ਵਾਕਿਫ਼/ਦੇਖਿਆ ਗਿਆ ))

S.No. (ਲੜੀ ਨੰ.)	Sex (ਲਿੰਗ)	Date/Year of Birth (ਜਨਮ ਮਿਤੀ/ਸਾਲ)	Build (ਬਣਾਵਟ)	Height(cms.) (ਕੱਚ(ਸੇ.ਮੀ))	Complexion (ਰੰਗ)	Identification Mark(s) (ਪਛਾਣ ਚਿੰਨ੍ਹ)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Male					
2	Male					

Deformities/ Peculiarities (ਵਿਕਾਰ / ਵਿਸ਼ੇਸ਼ਤਾ)	Teeth (ਦੰਦ)	Hair (ਵਾਲ)	Eyes (ਅੱਖਾਂ)	Habit(s) (ਆਦਤਾਂ)	Dress Habit(s) (ਪਹਿਰਾਵਾ)
8	9	10	11	12	13

Language /Dialect (ਭਾਸ਼ਾ/ਬੋਲੀ)						Others (ਹੋਰ)
	Burn Mark (ਸੜੇ ਹੋਏ ਦਾ ਨਿਸ਼ਾਨ)	Leucoderma (ਚਿੱਟਾ ਰੋਗ)	Mole (ਮਹੁਕਾ)	Scar (ਜ਼ਖਮ)	Tattoo (ਗੁੱਦੇ ਹੋਏ ਦਾ)	
14	15	16	17	18	19	20

These fields will be entered only if complainant/informant gives any one or more particulars about the suspect/accused.

ਇਹ ਖੇਤਰ ਤਦੋਂ ਦਰਜ ਕੀਤੇ ਜਾਣਗੇ ਜੇਕਰ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤਕਰਤਾ / ਸੂਚਨਾਕਰਤਾ ਸ਼ੱਕੀ / ਮੁਲਜ਼ਮ ਦੇ ਬਾਰੇ ਕੋਈ ਇੱਕ ਜਾਂ ਵੱਧ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਦਿੰਦਾ ਹੈ।)

TRUE COPY  
  
 ADVOCATE

[The main body of the document contains several paragraphs of text that are extremely faint and illegible due to heavy noise and low contrast in the scan. The text appears to be a formal report or letter.]


[Illegible]	[Illegible]	[Illegible]
[Illegible]	[Illegible]	[Illegible]
[Illegible]	[Illegible]	[Illegible]
[Illegible]	[Illegible]	[Illegible]
[Illegible]	[Illegible]	[Illegible]
[Illegible]	[Illegible]	[Illegible]
[Illegible]	[Illegible]	[Illegible]
[Illegible]	[Illegible]	[Illegible]
[Illegible]	[Illegible]	[Illegible]

[Illegible text at the bottom of the page, possibly a signature line or footer.]

-46-  
 Answer P-2



ADVOCATE  
TRUE COPY  
2




Several lines of text are visible at the bottom of the page, but they are extremely faint and illegible due to the high level of noise and grain in the scan. The text appears to be organized into a structured format, possibly a list or a set of instructions.

th

h

TRUE COPY



48  
Annex 28

Handwritten marks and scribbles in the bottom right corner.